Recanalization Outcomes and Procedural Complications in Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke and COVID-19 Receiving Endovascular Treatment

Article *in* Journal of Stroke · January 2025

DOI: 10.5853/jos.2024.04077

264 authors, including:

João Pedro Marto
Hospital de Egas Moniz- Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Ocidental
142 PUBLICATIONS **2,527** CITATIONS
SEE PROFILE

Davide Strambo
Lausanne University Hospital
155 PUBLICATIONS **3,395** CITATIONS
SEE PROFILE

George Ntaios
University of Thessaly
435 PUBLICATIONS **12,708** CITATIONS
SEE PROFILE

Thanh N Nguyen
Boston University
914 PUBLICATIONS **16,293** CITATIONS
SEE PROFILE

JoS JOURNAL OF STROKE

Journal of Stroke 2025;27(1):128-132
https://doi.org/10.5853/jos.2024.04077

Letter to the Editor

Recanalization Outcomes and Procedural Complications in Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke and COVID-19 Receiving Endovascular Treatment

João Pedro Marto,[1*] Davide Strambo,[2*] George Ntaios,[3] Thanh N Nguyen,[4] Pawel Wrona,[5] Simon Escalard,[6] Simona Marcheselli,[7] Ossama Yassin Mansour,[8] Blanca Fuentes,[9] Malgorzata Dorobek,[10] Marta Nowakowska-Kotas,[11] Elena Oana Terecoasa,[12] Jonathan M. Coutinho,[13] Mariana Carvalho-Dias,[14] Patricia Calleja,[15] João Sargento-Freitas,[16] Ana Paiva-Nunes,[17] Martin Šrámek,[18] Priyank Khandelwal,[19] Torcato Meira,[20] Mohamad Abdalkader,[4] Pascal Jabbour,[21] Martin Kovář,[22] Oscar Ayo-Martin,[23] Patrik Michel[2]; on Behalf of the Global COVID-19 Stroke Registry[†]

[1]Department of Neurology, Hospital de Egas Moniz, Lisbon, Portugal
[2]Stroke Centre, Neurology Service, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland
[3]Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Thessaly, Larissa, Greece
[4]Department of Neurology, Radiology, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA
[5]Department of Neurology, Jagiellonian University Hospital, Cracow, Poland
[6]Department of Interventional Neuroradiology, Hôpital Fondation A. de Rothschild, Paris, France
[7]Stroke Unit, IRCCS Humanitas Clinical and Research Center, Rozzano, Italy
[8]Alexandria University Hospitals and Affiliated Stroke Network, Alexandria, Egypt
[9]Department of Neurology and Stroke Centre, Hospital La Paz Institute for Health Research-IdiPAZ, Madrid, Spain
[10]Department of Neurology, Central Clinical Hospital of the Ministry of the Interior and Administration, Warsaw, Poland
[11]Department of Neurology, Wroclaw Medical University, Wrocław, Poland
[12]Department of Neurology, University Emergency Hospital Bucharest, University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Carol Davila", Bucharest, Romania
[13]Department of Neurology, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
[14]Stroke Unit, Department of Neurology, Hospital de Santa Maria, Lisbon, Portugal
[15]Department of Neurology and Stroke Centre, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain
[16]Department of Neurology, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal
[17]Stroke Unit, Hospital de São José, Lisbon, Portugal
[18]Cerebrovascular Centre, Central Military Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic
[19]Endovascular Neurological Surgery & Neurology, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Newark, NJ, USA
[20]Department of Neuroradiology, Hospital de Braga, Braga, Portugal
[21]Department of Neurosurgery, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, PA, USA
[22]Cerebrovascular Centre, Na Homolce Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic
[23]Department of Neurology, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Albacete, Albacete, Spain

Dear Sir:

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection is associated with an increased risk of cerebrovascular and other thrombotic events.[1] Previous studies have shown that patients with acute ischemic stroke (AIS) and coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) have a worse functional outcome than those without concomitant SARS-CoV-2 infection.[2,3] In patients receiving acute revascularization treatments, our large retrospective analysis of the Global COVID-19 Stroke Registry revealed higher rates of intracranial bleeding and worse clinical outcomes in patients with AIS and COVID-19 compared to contemporary AIS controls without COVID-19.[4] Subsequent sub-analyses emphasized the impact of SARS-CoV-2 infection in this subgroup of patients showing differences in outcome between patients with asymptomatic COVID-19 and controls without COVID-19.[5]

Several factors can contribute to poorer outcomes in patients with AIS and COVID-19 undergoing acute revascularization treat-

ments,[2,3,6] including lower recanalization rates and higher rates of procedural complications after endovascular treatment (EVT).[7,8]

We aimed to assess recanalization outcomes and procedural complication rates in patients with AIS and COVID-19 undergoing EVT in an international cohort by comparing them with a contemporary control group of non–COVID-19 patients from the same centers.

We conducted a secondary analysis on the Global COVID-19 Stroke Registry.[4] Inclusion and exclusion criteria for the Global COVID-19 Stroke Registry were previously described.[4] For this study, only patients receiving EVT for intracranial occlusions were included (Supplementary Figure 1). All study procedures, study variables, and ethical standards were previously described.[4]

The primary outcome of this study was recanalization after EVT assessed by the modified Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (mTICI) score. Secondary procedural outcomes were: (1) successful recanalization after EVT (mTICI ≥2b); (2) first pass effect; (3) number of passes during EVT; and (4) procedure duration. Secondary safety outcomes were: (1) arterial perforation observed during EVT; (2) reocclusion during EVT; and (3) embolization into new non-ischemic territory during EVT. To assess the association of COVID-19 with the primary outcome and secondary procedural outcomes, we used multivariable regression models entering as independent variables the COVID-19 status together with prespecified baseline clinical and radiological variables identified from previous literature as variables known to be associated with the outcomes of interest. Depending on whether the outcome was ordinal, binary, or continuous, we used ordered logit regression, logistic regression, and quantile regression models, respectively. Data regarding EVT complications were available for all COVID-19 patients but only in a subset of controls. As such, to evaluate the association between COVID-19 and EVT complications, we performed a 1:3 propensity-score matching procedure between COVID-19 patients and the subset of controls with EVT complication data available. The association between COVID-19 and EVT complications was assessed using univariable binary logistic regression on the matched population. A detailed description on the statistical analysis methodology is available in Supplementary Methods.

Of the 15,128 patients included in the Global COVID-19 Stroke Registry, 8,292 fulfilled the inclusion criteria for the present analysis (Supplementary Figure 1). Of these, 497 (6.0%) patients were diagnosed with COVID-19. Comparisons between groups are shown in Table 1.

In the adjusted analysis, COVID-19 was associated with worse final mTICI score, lower successful recanalization, and a trend toward a lower first pass effect (Table 2).

EVT procedural complications were assessed in 493 (99.0%) patients with COVID-19 and in 2,275 (29.0%) controls. Control patients with and without data on procedural complications had similar baseline characteristics (Supplementary Table 1). Among patients with information on procedural complications after EVT, 491 with COVID-19 and 2,246 in the control group had complete data on the covariates selected for matching and were included in the analysis. After propensity-score matching of these patients, COVID-19 patients and their matched controls had a well-balanced distribution of baseline characteristics (Supplementary Figure 2). Patients with COVID-19 had higher rates of arterial perforation and reocclusion during EVT, and a trend toward higher rates of embolization into a new non-ischemic territory (Table 3).

In this secondary analysis on the Global COVID-19 Stroke Registry, we found that patients with COVID-19 had worse recanalization outcomes and higher rates of procedural complications such as arterial perforation and reocclusion in comparison with contemporaneous patients with AIS without COVID-19. To our knowledge, this was the first study to show such associations in a large sample of consecutive patients with and without COVID-19 that used adjustment for potential confounders. The presented results add to our previous finding, showing higher rates of intracranial bleeding and worse clinical outcomes in patients with AIS and COVID-19 compared to contemporary AIS controls without COVID-19.[9]

Several pathophysiological mechanisms associated with COVID-19 may explain these findings. Endothelial inflammation and dysfunction, induced platelet aggregation, coagulation cascade activation, and formation of antiphospholipid antibody complexes associated with COVID-19 likely lead to higher clot burden and clot adherence.[9,10] This complex interplay probably results in lower recanalization and increased rates of reocclusion. These same mechanisms, combined with SARS-CoV-2-induced hyperfibrinolysis and direct viral-mediated damage to the neurovascular unit[9,10] may contribute to lower integrity of vessel walls and to subsequent elevated rates of arterial perforation. Alternatively, emboli in patients with COVID-19 may be more difficult to recanalize and retrieve due to the activation of the coagulation cascade activation, requiring more aggressive and longer EVT procedures with increased perforation risk.

Our analysis has several strengths, including the large sample size from 30 countries across five continents, increasing the validity and generalizability of our results.

Limitations of our study include the retrospective design, nonblinded assessment, and absence of centralized imaging review, which may have influenced our results. The use of different thrombectomy equipment and techniques by stroke interventionists may have affected our results but were tentatively addressed by adjustment with a center cluster level variable. A significant num-

Table 1. Baseline, stroke characteristics, imaging and treatment data

Variables	Total (n=8,292)	Controls (n=7,795)	COVID-19 (n=497)	*P*
Center volume*				<0.01
<100	671 (8.1)	585 (7.5)	86 (17.3)	
100–199	2,434 (29.4)	2,268 (29.1)	166 (33.4)	
200–299	3,343 (40.3)	3,194 (41.0)	149 (30.0)	
≥300	1,844 (22.2)	1,748 (22.4)	96 (19.3)	
Demographics				
Age (yr)	73 (63–81)	73 (63–81)	70 (60–79)	<0.01
Male sex	4,041 (48.8)	3,756 (48.2)	285 (57.3)	<0.01
Pre-stroke modified Rankin Scale				0.94
0	5,831 (73.0)	5,474 (73.0)	357 (72.9)	
1 to 2	1,648 (20.6)	1,545 (20.6)	103 (21.0)	
3 to 5	513 (6.4)	483 (6.4)	30 (6.1)	
Vascular risk factors				
Hypertension	5,763 (69.8)	5,434 (70.0)	329 (66.2)	0.08
Diabetes mellitus	2,060 (24.8)	1,893 (24.4)	167 (33.6)	<0.01
Dyslipidemia	3,743 (45.4)	3,541 (45.7)	202 (40.6)	0.03
Current smoking	1,734 (21.4)	1,646 (21.6)	88 (17.8)	0.05
Atrial fibrillation	3,078 (37.3)	2,907 (37.5)	171 (34.5)	0.20
Heart failure	1,183 (15.2)	1,109 (15.2)	74 (15.6)	0.85
Coronary artery disease	1,330 (16.4)	1,247 (16.3)	83 (17.3)	0.64
Active cancer	368 (5.0)	345 (5.0)	23 (5.1)	0.988
Treatment at stroke onset				
Oral anticoagulants	1,648 (19.9)	1,535 (19.8)	113 (22.7)	0.13
Antiplatelets	2,101 (25.5)	1,980 (25.6)	121 (24.4)	0.62
Statins	2,630 (33.7)	2,484 (33.9)	146 (30.9)	0.20
Stroke characteristics				
LTSW-to-door (min)	120 (66–263)	120 (66–264)	120 (61–260)	0.36
Admission NIHSS	16 (10–20)	16 (10–20)	17 (12–21)	<0.01
Vascular territories				0.40
Carotid	7,371 (90.0)	6,924 (88.9)	447 (90.1)	
Vertebrobasilar	729 (8.8)	693 (8.9)	36 (7.3)	
Multiple	186 (2.2)	173 (2.2)	13 (2.6)	
Admission systolic BP (mm Hg)	148 (130–165)	148 (130–165)	144 (130–160)	<0.01
Admission blood glucose (mmol/L)	6.9 (5.9–8.4)	6.9 (5.9–8.4)	7.2 (6.1–9.2)	<0.01
Acute imaging				
ASPECTS (or pc-ASPECTS)	9 (8–10)	9 (8–10)	9 (7–10)	<0.01
Most proximal arterial occlusion				0.11
Intracranial ICA	1,766 (21.3)	1,654 (21.2)	112 (22.5)	
MCA M1	4,156 (50.1)	3,906 (50.1)	250 (50.3)	
MCA M2-4	1,500 (18.1)	1,414 (18.1)	86 (17.3)	
ACA A1-2	48 (0.6)	46 (0.6)	2 (0.4)	
PCA P1-2	116 (1.4)	109 (1.4)	7 (1.4)	
BA	522 (6.3)	498 (6.4)	24 (4.8)	
V4	105 (1.3)	100 (1.3)	5 (1.0)	
Other	79 (0.9)	68 (0.9)	11 (2.2)	
Tandem lesion	1,255 (15.2)	1,166 (15.0)	89 (17.9)	0.09

https://doi.org/10.5853/jos.2024.04077

Table 1. Continued

Variables	Total (n=8,292)	Controls (n=7,795)	COVID-19 (n=497)	*P*
Stroke etiology				<0.01
Large artery atherosclerosis	1,599 (19.3)	1,498 (19.2)	101 (20.3)	
Cardioembolism	3,921 (47.3)	3,715 (47.7)	206 (41.5)	
Small vessels disease	26 (0.3)	25 (0.3)	1 (0.2)	
Dissection	188 (2.3)	178 (2.3)	10 (2.0)	
Other determined cause	386 (4.7)	320 (4.1)	66 (13.3)	
Undetermined	2,172 (26.2)	2,059 (26.4)	113 (22.7)	
Acute revascularization treatment				
IV thrombolysis	3,866 (46.6)	3,655 (46.9)	211 (42.5)	0.06
LTSW-to-puncture (min)	273 (187–412)	272 (187–412)	285 (190.2–410.8)	0.63
General anesthesia	2,941 (35.7)	2,718 (35.1)	223 (45.0)	<0.01

Values are presented as median (interquartile range) or as n (%).
LTSW, last-time-seen-well; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; BP, blood pressure; ASPECTS, Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score; pc-ASPECTS, posterior circulation ASPECTS; ICA, internal carotid artery; MCA, middle cerebral artery; ACA, anterior cerebral artery; PCA, posterior cerebral artery; BA, basilar artery; V4, vertebral artery, segment 4.
*Refers to the number of patients included by each center in the study.

Table 2. Recanalization outcomes after endovascular treatment

Variables	Total (n=8,292)	Controls (n=7,795)	COVID-19 (n=497)	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)
Final mTICI				0.70 (0.58 to 0.86)*	0.72 (0.59 to 0.89)*
0–1	658 (8.0)	597 (7.7)	61 (12.3)		
2a	442 (5.3)	404 (5.2)	38 (7.6)		
2b	2,111 (25.5)	1,988 (25.5)	123 (24.7)		
2c	877 (10.6)	815 (10.5)	62 (12.5)		
3	4,182 (50.6)	3,969 (51.1)	213 (42.9)		
Successful recanalization (mTICI ≥2b)	7,170 (86.7)	6,772 (87.1)	398 (80.1)	0.59 (0.47 to 0.75)	0.59 (0.44 to 0.79)
First pass effect	2,498 (30.2)	2,376 (30.5)	122 (24.6)	0.74 (0.60 to 0.91)	0.79 (0.63 to 1.00)
Total number of device passes				1.21 (0.96 to 1.53)†	1.13 (0.88 to 1.44)†
1	3,855 (46.5)	3,642 (46.7)	213 (42.9)		
2	1,972 (23.8)	1,860 (23.9)	112 (22.5)		
3	1,236 (14.9)	1,152 (14.8)	84 (16.9)		
>3	1,229 (14.8)	1,141 (14.6)	88 (17.7)		
Procedure duration	40 (25–65)	40 (25–65)	40 (25–65)	0.00 (-3.74 to 3.74)‡	-2.25 (-6.24 to 1.74)‡

Values are presented as median (interquartile range) or as n (%).
OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; mTICI, modified Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction.
*Common adjusted odds ratio for higher mTICI; †Common adjusted odds ratio for higher number of passes; ‡Beta coefficient from quantile regression. Multivariable models displayed no multicollinearity (maximal variance inflation factor of 1.1).

Table 3. Procedural complications during endovascular treatment

Variables	Total (n=1,964)	Controls (n=1,473)	COVID-19 (n=491)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	*P*
Arterial perforation observed during EVT	29 (1.5)	17 (1.2)	12 (2.4)	2.14 (1.12–4.10)	0.02
Embolization into a non-ischemic territory during EVT	76 (3.9)	48 (3.3)	28 (5.7)	1.79 (0.97–3.32)	0.06
Reocclusion of recanalized artery during EVT	89 (4.5)	46 (3.1)	43 (8.8)	2.98 (1.75–5.09)	<0.01

Values are presented as numbers (proportions).
OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; EVT, endovascular treatment.

ber of centers did not report safety outcomes in control patients, which could have biased our results.

In conclusion, in this cohort study, patients with AIS and COVID-19 receiving EVT had lower rates of recanalization and a higher risk of arterial perforation and reocclusion, in comparison with contemporary AIS controls without COVID-19. These findings may contribute to the poorer outcome found in patients with AIS and COVID-19.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary materials related to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.5853/jos.2024.04077.

Funding statement

None

Conflicts of interest

Roman Herzig reports receiving research grants from the Ministry of Health of the Czech Republic (grant number DRO – UHHK 00179906) and Charles University, Czech Republic (grant number PROGRES Q40). Christian Nolte reports receiving research grants from the German Ministry of Research and Education, the German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases, and the German Center for Cardiovascular Research. He has also received speaker and/or advisory fees from Abbott, Alexion, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Daiichi Sankyo, and Pfizer Pharma. Stavropoula Tjoumakaris reports receiving advisory fees from Medtronic and MicroVention. Jiangyong Min reports receiving advisory fees from Medtronic and Abbott. Muhib-A Khan reports receiving research grants from the National Institute of Health, Spectrum Health-Michigan State University Research Alliance, and Genentech. Patrik Michel reports receiving research grants from the Swiss National Science Foundation and the Swiss Heart Foundation. All other authors report no conflicts of interest.

Author contribution

Conceptualization: JPM, DS, GN, PM. Study design: JPM, DS, GN, PM. Data collection: all authors. Statistical analysis: DS. Writing—original draft: JPM, DS; GN, PM. Writing—review & editing: all authors. Approval of final manuscript: all authors.

References

1. Mao L, Jin H, Wang M, Hu Y, Chen S, He Q, et al. Neurologic manifestations of hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Neurol* 2020;77:683-690.
2. Ntaios G, Michel P, Georgiopoulos G, Guo Y, Li W, Xiong J, et al. Characteristics and outcomes in patients with COVID-19 and acute ischemic stroke: the global COVID-19 stroke registry. *Stroke* 2020;51:e254-e258.
3. Perry RJ, Smith CJ, Roffe C, Simister R, Narayanamoorthi S, Marigold R, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 associated stroke: a UK multicentre case-control study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2021;92:242-248.
4. Marto JP, Strambo D, Ntaios G, Nguyen TN, Herzig R, Czlonkowska A, et al. Safety and outcome of revascularization treatment in patients with acute ischemic stroke and COVID-19: the global COVID-19 stroke registry. *Neurology* 2023;100:e739-e750.
5. Strambo D, Marto JP, Ntaios G, Nguyen TN, Michel P; Global COVID-19 Stroke Registry. Effect of asymptomatic and symptomatic COVID-19 on acute ischemic stroke revascularization outcomes. *Stroke* 2024;55:78-88.
6. Wiersinga WJ, Rhodes A, Cheng AC, Peacock SJ, Prescott HC. Pathophysiology, transmission, diagnosis, and treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a review. *JAMA* 2020;324:782-793.
7. Escalard S, Maïer B, Redjem H, Delvoye F, Hébert S, Smajda S, et al. Treatment of acute ischemic stroke due to large vessel occlusion with COVID-19: experience from Paris. *Stroke* 2020;51:2540-2543.
8. Cagnazzo F, Piotin M, Escalard S, Maier B, Ribo M, Requena M, et al. European multicenter study of ET-COVID-19. *Stroke* 2021;52:31-39.
9. Sashindranath M, Nandurkar HH. Endothelial dysfunction in the brain: setting the stage for stroke and other cerebrovascular complications of COVID-19. *Stroke* 2021;52:1895-1904.
10. Sagris D, Papanikolaou A, Kvernland A, Korompoki E, Frontera JA, Troxel AB, et al. COVID-19 and ischemic stroke. *Eur J Neurol* 2021;28:3826-3836.

Correspondence: João Pedro Marto
Department of Neurology, Hospital de Egas Moniz, Lisbon, Portugal, Rua da Junqueira nº126, 1349-019, Lisbon, Portugal
Tel: +351-210432181
E-mail: joao.pedro.seabra.marto@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2277-5950

Received: October 4, 2024
Accepted: October 14, 2024

*These authors contributed equally as first author.

†The full author list is provided in Appendix 1.

Supplementary Methods

Complete statistical analysis description

Continuous variables were summarized as median values with interquartile range and categorical variables as absolute numbers and percentages. We compared baseline and outcome variables between coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients and COVID-19 negative control group using Pearson's chi-squared test for categorical variables and Mann–Whitney U test for continuous variables. To assess the association of COVID-19 with the primary outcome and secondary procedural outcomes, we used multivariable regression models entering as independent variables the COVID-19 status together with prespecified baseline clinical and radiological variables identified from previous literature as variables known to be associated with the outcomes of interest. These potential confounders were age, sex, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS); Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score (ASPECTS), site of arterial occlusion, tandem lesions, last-time-seen-well-to-puncture delay, intravenous thrombolysis (IVT), and general anesthesia. Depending on whether the outcome was ordinal, binary, or continuous, we used ordered logit regression, logistic regression, and quantile regression models, respectively. The results of ordered logit regression and logistic regression model were expressed as odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI), and the results of quantile regression were expressed as beta coefficients and 95% CIs. Given the risk of clustering effect of patients from the same center, we included the referring center in each model as a cluster level variable and calculated cluster-robust standard errors. To account for missing data of the covariates, we performed multiple imputations by chained equation, generating ten imputed data sets. The rate of missing data for each variable in the registry has been reported in a previous paper. We performed analyses on each imputed dataset, and then the estimates and the standard errors of the ten imputed analyses were combined using Rubins' Rules. To assess collinearity among the covariates in the multivariable models, we calculated the adjusted generalized variance inflation factor for each covariate. Data regarding endovascular treatment (EVT) complications were available for all COVID-19 patients but only in a subset of controls. As such, to evaluate the association between COVID-19 and EVT complications, we performed a 1:3 propensity-score matching procedure between COVID-19 patients and the subset of controls with EVT complication data available. A propensity score model was fitted by logistic regression to assign a probability to each patient belonging to COVID-19 or control groups. Covariates entered in the model were age, sex, baseline NIHSS, large artery atherosclerosis etiology, baseline ASPECTS, site of arterial occlusion, tandem lesions, stroke vascular territory, last-time-seen-well (LTSW)-to-puncture delay, IVT and general anesthesia. Patients in the control group with characteristics most akin to COVID-19 patients were then selected through nearest neighbor matching and for each COVID-19 patient, three control patients with the closest propensity scores were selected. Subsequently, the association between COVID-19 and EVT complications was assessed using univariable binary logistic regression on the matched population and results were expressed as OR and their 95% CIs. All tests were two-sided and *P*-values <0.05 were considered significant. As this was a retrospective study, no correction for multiple outcome testing was applied. We did not perform a power calculation since prior data estimating the expected effect of COVID-19 on the outcome of interest in revascularized stroke patients was lacking. We performed statistical analysis with R statistical software, version 4.0.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Supplementary Table 1. Comparison between control patients included and excluded in procedural complications analysis

Variables	Excluded (n=5,520)	Included (n=2,275)
Center volume*		
<100	393 (7.1)	192 (8.4)
100–199	1,608 (29.1)	660 (29.0)
200–299	1,933 (35.1)	1,261 (55.4)
≥300	1,586 (28.7)	162 (7.1)
Demographics		
Age (yr)	74 (63–82)	73 (63–81)
Male sex	2,644 (47.9)	1,112 (48.9)
Pre-stroke modified Rankin Scale		
0	3,951 (75.3)	1,523 (67.6)
1 to 2	971 (18.5)	574 (25.5)
3 to 5	326 (6.2)	157 (7.0)
Vascular risk factors		
Arterial hypertension	3,782 (69.0)	1,652 (72.7)
Diabetes mellitus	1,222 (22.3)	671 (29.6)
Dyslipidemia	2,420 (44.2)	1,121 (49.4)
Current smoking (or stopped <2 years)	1,080 (20.2)	566 (25.1)
Atrial fibrillation	2,042 (37.2)	865 (38.1)
Heart failure	700 (13.3)	409 (20.0)
Coronary artery disease	825 (15.1)	422 (19.4)
Active cancer	213 (4.2)	132 (7.3)
Treatment at stroke onset		
Oral anticoagulants	1,152 (21.0)	383 (17.0)
Antiplatelets	1,382 (25.2)	598 (26.4)
Statins	1,795 (33.9)	689 (33.8)
Stroke characteristics		
LTSW-to-door (min)	122 (67–271.8)	115 (65–240)
NIHSS admission	16 (10–20)	15 (10–20)
Vascular territories		
Carotid	4,938 (89.5)	1,986 (87.3)
Vertebrobasilar	492 (8.9)	201 (8.8)
Multiple territories	85 (1.5)	88 (3.9)
Admission systolic BP (mm Hg)	148 (130–165)	150 (130–168)
Admission blood glucose (mmol/L)	6.8 (5.8–8.4)	7.0 (6.0–8.4)
Acute imaging		
ASPECTS (or pc-ASPECTS)	9 (7–10)	9 (8–10)
Most proximal arterial occlusion		
Intracranial ICA	1,161 (21.0)	493 (21.7)
MCA M1	2,818 (51.0)	1,088 (47.8)
MCA M2-4	950 (17.2)	464 (20.4)
ACA A1-2	37 (0.7)	9 (0.4)
PCA P1-2	74 (1.3)	35 (1.5)
BA	355 (6.4)	143 (6.3)
V4	77 (1.4)	23 (1.0)
Other	48 (0.9)	20 (0.9)
Tandem lesion	799 (14.5)	367 (16.1)
Stroke etiology		
Large artery atherosclerosis	1,093 (19.8)	405 (17.8)
Cardioembolism	2,649 (48.0)	1,066 (46.9)
Small vessels disease	20 (0.4)	5 (0.2)
Dissection	147 (2.7)	31 (1.4)
Other determined cause	240 (4.3)	80 (3.5)
Undetermined	1,371 (24.8)	688 (30.2)
Acute revascularization treatment		
IV thrombolysis	2,573 (46.6)	1,082 (47.6)
LTSW-to-puncture (min)	280 (193–420)	255 (175–400)
General anesthesia	1,898 (34.6)	820 (36.2)

Values are presented as median (interquartile range) or n (%).
LTSW, last-time-seen-well; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; BP, blood pressure; ASPECTS, Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score; pc-ASPECTS, posterior circulation ASPECTS; ICA, internal carotid artery; MCA, middle cerebral artery; ACA, anterior cerebral artery; PCA, posterior cerebral artery; BA, basilar artery; V4, vertebral artery, segment 4.
*Refers to the number of patients included by each center in the study.

https://doi.org/10.5853/jos.2024.04077



Supplementary Figure 1. Inclusion flowchart.



Supplementary Figure 2. Standardized mean differences before (red points) and after (blue points) propensity-score matching (PSM) between the patients with COVID-19 and controls, for the variables used for matching. NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; LVO, large vessel occlusion; ic-ICA, intracranial internal carotid artery; M1, middle cerebral artery (M1 segment); BA, basilar artery; IVT, intravenous thrombolysis.

Appendix 1. Full author list

João Pedro Marto,[1*] Davide Strambo,[2*] George Ntaios,[3] Thanh N Nguyen,[4] Pawel Wrona,[5] Simon Escalard,[6] Simona Marcheselli,[7] Ossama Yassin Mansour,[8] Blanca Fuentes,[9] Malgorzata Dorobek,[10] Marta Nowakowska-Kotas,[11] Elena Oana Terecoasa,[12] Jonathan M. Coutinho,[13] Mariana Carvalho-Dias,[14] Patricia Calleja,[15] João Sargento-Freitas,[16] Ana Paiva-Nunes,[17] Martin Šrámek,[18] Priyank Khandelwal,[19] Torcato Meira,[20] Mohamad Abdalkader,[4] Pascal Jabbour,[21] Martin Kovář,[22] Oscar Ayo-Martin,[23] Patrik Michel,[2] Roman Herzig,[24] Anna Członkowksa,[25] Jelle Demeestere,[26] Raul G. Nogueira,[27] Alexander Salerno,[2] Susanne Wegener,[28] Philipp Baumgartner,[28] Carlo W. Cereda,[29] Giovanni Bianco,[29] Morin Beyeler,[30] Marcel Arnold,[30] Emmanuel Carrera,[31] Paolo Machi,[32] Valerian Altersberger,[33] Leo Bonati,[33] Henrik Gensicke,[33] Manuel Bolognese,[34] Nils Peters,[35] Stephan Wetzel,[35] Marta Magriço,[1] João Nuno Ramos,[36] Rita Machado,[16] Carolina Maia,[16] Egídio Machado,[37] Patrícia Ferreira,[17] Teresa Pinho-e-Melo,[14] André Paula,[38] Manuel Alberto Correia,[38] Pedro Castro,[39] Elsa Azevedo,[39] Luís Albuquerque,[40] José Nuno-Alves,[41] Joana Ferreira-Pinto,[41] Torcato Meira,[20] Liliana Pereira,[42] Miguel Rodrigues,[42] André Araújo,[43] Marta Rodrigues,[43] Mariana Rocha,[44] Ângelo Pereira-Fonseca,[45] Luís Ribeiro,[45] Ricardo Varela,[46] Sofia Malheiro,[46] Manuel Cappellari,[47] Cecilia Zivelonghi,[47] Giulia Sajeva,[47] Andrea Zini,[48] Gentile Mauro,[48] Forlivesi Stefano,[48] Ludovica Migliaccio,[48] Maria Sessa,[49] Sara La Gioia,[49] Alessandro Pezzini,[50] Davide Sangalli,[51] Marialuisa Zedde,[52] Rosario Pascarella,[53] Carlo Ferrarese,[54] Simone Beretta,[54] Susanna Diamanti,[54] Ghil Schwarz,[55,56] Giovanni Frisullo,[57] Pierre Seners,[58] Candice Sabben,[58] Michel Piotin,[6] Benjamin Maier,[6] Guillaume Charbonnier,[59] Fabrice Vuillier,[59] Loic Legris,[60] Pauline Cuisenier,[60] Francesca R. Vodret,[60] Gaultier Marnat,[61] Jean-Sebastien Liegey,[61] Igor Sibon,[61] Fabian Flottmann,[62] Gabriel Broocks,[62] Nils-Ole Gloyer,[62] Ferdinand O. Bohmann,[63] Jan Hendrik Schaefer,[63] Christian H. Nolte,[64] Heinrich Audebert,[64] Eberhard Siebert,[65] Marek Sykora,[66] Wilfried Lang,[66] Julia Ferrari,[66] Lukas Mayer-Suess,[67] Michael Knoflach,[67] Elke-Ruth Gizewski,[68] Jeffrey Stolp,[13] Lotte J. Stolze,[13] Paul J. Nederkoorn,[13] Ido van-den-Wijngaard,[69] Joke de Meris,[69] Robin Lemmen,[26] Sylvie De Raedt,[70] Fenne Vandervorst,[70] Matthieu Pierre Rutgers,[71] Antoine Guilmot,[71] Anne Dusart,[72] Flavio Bellante,[72] Fernando Ostos,[15] Guillermo Gonzalez-Ortega,[15] Paloma Martín-Jiménez,[15] Sebastian García-Madrona,[73] Antonio Cruz-Culebras,[73] Rocio Vera,[73] Maria-Consuelo Matute,[73] María Alonso-de-Leciñana,[9] Ricardo Rigual,[9] Exuperio Díez-Tejedor,[9] Soledad Pérez-Sánchez,[74] Joan Montaner,[74] Fernando Díaz-Otero,[75] Natalia Perez-de-la-Ossa,[76] Belén Flores-Pina,[76] Lucia Muñoz-Narbona,[76] Angel Chamorro,[77] Alejandro Rodríguez-Vázquez,[77] Arturo Renú,[77] Francisco Hernandez-Fernandez,[23] Tomas Segura,[23] Herbert Tejada-Meza,[78] Daniel Sagarra-Mur,[78] Marta Serrano-Ponz,[78] Thant Hlaing,[79] Isaiah See,[80] Robert Simister,[80] David J. Werring,[81] Espen Saxhaug Kristoffersen,[82] Annika Nordanstig,[83] Katarina Jood,[83] Alexandros Rentzos,[84] Libor Šimůne,[24] Dagmar Krajíčková,[24] Antonín Krajina,[85] Robert Mikulík,[86] Martina Cviková,[86] Jan Vinklárek,[86] David Školoudík,[87] Martin Roubec,[87] Eva Hurtikova,[87] Rostislav Hrubý,[88] Svatopluk Ostry,[88] Ondrej Skoda,[89] Marek Pernicka,[89] Lubomír Kočí,[90] Zuzana Eichlová,[90] Martin Jíra,[90] Michal Panský,[22] Pavel Mencl,[22] Hana Paloušková,[91] Aleš Tomek,[92] Petr Janský,[92] Anna Olšerová,[92] Roman Havlíček,[18] Petr Malý,[18] Lukáš Trakal,[18] Jan Fiksa,[93] Matěj Slovák,[93] Michał Karlińsk,[25] Maciej Nowak,[25] Halina Sienkiewicz-Jarosz,[94] Anna Bochynska,[94] Tomasz Homa,[5] Katarzyna Sawczynska,[5] Agnieszka Slowik,[5] Ewa Wlodarczyk,[5] Marcin Wiącek,[95] Izabella Tomaszewska-Lampart,[95] Bartosz Sieczkowski,[95] Halina Bartosik-Psujek,[95] Marta Bilik,[96] Anna Bandzarewicz,[96] Justyna Zielińska-Turek,[10] Krystian Obara,[11] Paweł Urbanowski,[11] Sławomir Budrewicz,[11] Maciej Guziński,[97] Milena Świtońska,[97] Iwona Rutkowska,[98] Paulina Sobieszak-Skura,[98] Beata Łabuz-Roszak,[99] Aleksander Dębiec,[100] Jacek Staszewski,[100]

Adam Stępień,[100] Jacek Zwiernik,[101] Grzegorz Wasilewski,[102] Cristina Tiu,[12] Razvan-Alexandru Radu,[12] Anca Negrila,[12] Bogdan Dorobat,[103] Cristina Panea,[104] Vlad Tiu,[104] Simona Petrescu,[105] Atilla Özcan-Özdemir,[106] Mostafa Mahmoud,[107] Hussam El-Samahy,[107] Hazem Abdelkhalek,[108] Jasem Al-Hashel,[109] Ismail Ibrahim Ismail,[109] Athari Salmeen,[110] Abdoreza Ghoreishi,[111] Sergiu Sabetay,[112] Hana Gross,[4] Piers Klein,[4] Kareem El Naamani,[19] Stavropoula Tjoumakaris,[19] Rawad Abbas,[19] Ghada-A Mohamed,[113] Alex Chebl,[114] Jiangyong Min,[115] Majesta Hovingh,[115] Jenney-P Tsai,[115] Muhib-A Khan,[115] Krishna Nalleballe,[116] Sanjeeva Onteddu,[116] Hesham-E Masoud,[117] Mina Michael,[117] Navreet Kaur,[117] Laith Maali,[118] Michael Abraham,[118] Ivo Bach,[19] Melody Ong,[19] Denis Babici,[19] Ayaz-M. Khawaja,[119] Maryam Hakemi,[119] Kumar Rajamani,[119] Vanessa Cano-Nigenda,[120] Antonio Arauz,[120] Pablo Amaya,[121] Natalia Llanos,[122] Akemi Arango,[122] Miguel A. Vences,[123] José-Domingo Barrientos,[124] Rayllene Caetano,[125] Rodrigo Targa,[125] Sergio Scollo,[126] Patrick Yalung,[127] Shashank Nagendra,[128] Abhijit Gaikwad,[128] Kwon-Duk Seo[129];
on Behalf of the Global COVID-19 Stroke Registry

[1]Department of Neurology, Hospital de Egas Moniz, Lisbon, Portugal
[2]Stroke Centre, Neurology Service, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland
[3]Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Thessaly, Larissa, Greece
[4]Department of Neurology, Radiology, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA
[5]Department of Neurology, Jagiellonian University Hospital, Cracow, Poland
[6]Department of Interventional Neuroradiology, Hôpital Fondation A. de Rothschild, Paris, France
[7]Stroke Unit, IRCCS Humanitas Clinical and Research Center, Rozzano, Italy
[8]Alexandria University Hospitals and Affiliated Stroke Network, Alexandria, Egypt
[9]Department of Neurology and Stroke Centre, Hospital La Paz Institute for Health Research-IdiPAZ, Madrid, Spain
[10]Department of Neurology, Central Clinical Hospital of the Ministry of the Interior and Administration, Warsaw, Poland
[11]Department of Neurology, Wroclaw Medical University, Wrocław, Poland
[12]Department of Neurology, University Emergency Hospital Bucharest, University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Carol Davila", Bucharest, Romania
[13]Department of Neurology, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
[14]Stroke Unit, Department of Neurology, Hospital de Santa Maria, Lisbon, Portugal
[15]Department of Neurology and Stroke Centre, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain
[16]Department of Neurology, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal
[17]Stroke Unit, Hospital de São José, Lisbon, Portugal
[18]Cerebrovascular Centre, Central Military Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic
[19]Endovascular Neurological Surgery & Neurology, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Newark, NJ, USA
[20]Department of Neuroradiology, Hospital de Braga, Braga, Portugal
[21]Department of Neurosurgery, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, PA, USA
[22]Cerebrovascular Centre, Na Homolce Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic
[23]Department of Neurology, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Albacete, Albacete, Spain
[24]Department of Neurology, Comprehensive Stroke Centre, Charles University Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital, Hradec Králové, Czech Republic
[25]2nd Department of Neurology, Institute of Psychiatry and Neurology, Warsaw, Poland
[26]Neurology Department, Leuven University Hospital, Leuven, Belgium
[27]Departments of Radiology, Neurology and Neurosurgery, Grady Memorial Hospital, Atlanta, GA, USA
[28]Department of Neurology, University Hospital of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
[29]Stroke Center, Neurocenter of Southern Switzerland, EOC, Lugano, Switzerland
[30]Stroke Center, Department of Neurology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital and University of Bern, Switzerland
[31]Stroke Centre, Geneva University Hospital, Geneva, Switzerland
[32]Department of Neuroradiology, Geneva University Hospital, Geneva, Switzerland
[33]Stroke Centre, University Hospital Basel and University of Basel, Switzerland
[34]Stroke Centre, Kantonsspital Lucerne, Switzerland
[35]Stroke Centre, Hirslanden Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland
[36]Department of Neuroradiology, Hospital de Egas Moniz, Centro Hospitalar Lisboa Ocidental, Lisbon, Portugal
[37]Department of Neuroradiology, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal
[38]Department of Neuroradiology, Hospital de Santa Maria, Centro Hospitalar Universitário Lisboa Norte, Lisbon, Portugal
[39]Department of Neurology, Centro Hospitalar Universitário São João, Porto, Portugal
[40]Department of Neuroradiology, Centro Hospitalar Universitário São João, Porto, Portugal
[41]Department of Neurology, Hospital de Braga, Braga, Portugal
[42]Department of Neurology, Hospital Garcia de Orta, Almada, Portugal
[43]Department of Neuroradiology, Centro Hospitalar de Vila Nova de Gaia/Espinho, Vila Nova de Gaia, Portugal
[44]Department of Neurology, Centro Hospitalar de Vila Nova de Gaia/Espinho, Vila Nova de Gaia, Portugal

https://doi.org/10.5853/jos.2024.04077

[45]Department of Neurology, Unidade Local de Saúde de Matosinhos, Matosinhos, Portugal
[46]Department of Neurology, Centro Hospitalar Universitário do Porto, Porto, Portugal
[47]Stroke Unit, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Integrata, Verona, Italy
[48]IRCCS Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna, Department of Neurology and Stroke Centre, Maggiore Hospital, Bologna, Italy
[49]Department of Neurology, ASST Papa Giovanni XXIII, Bergamo, Italy
[50]Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Parma; Stroke Care Program, Department of Emergency, Parma University Hospital, Parma, Italy
[51]Department of Neurology and Stroke Unit, Azienda Socio Sanitaria Territoriale, Lecco, Italy
[52]Neurology Unit, Stroke Unit, Azienda Unità Sanitaria-IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Italy
[53]Neuroradiology Unit, Azienda Unità Sanitaria-IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Italy
[54]Department of Neurology, San Gerardo Hospital, Department of Medicine and Surgery and Milan Centre for Neuroscience, University of Milano Bicocca, Monza, Italy
[55]Stroke Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy
[56]Department of Neurology and Stroke Unit - ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Milan, Italy
[57]Department of Neurology, Policlinico Universitario Agostino Gemelli, Rome, Italy
[58]Department of Neurology, Hôpital Fondation A. de Rothschild, Paris, France
[59]Department of Interventional Neuroradiology, Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire, Hôpital Jean Minjoz, Besançon, France
[60]Neurology, Stroke Unit, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire, Grenoble Alpes, France
[61]Department of Interventional and Diagnostic Neuroradiology, Bordeaux University Hospital, Bordeaux, France
[62]Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Neuroradiology, University Medical Center-Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany
[63]Department of Neurology, University Hospital Frankfurt, Goethe University, Frankfurt, Germany
[64]Department of Neurology and Centre for Stroke Research, Berlin Institute of Health, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany
[65]Department of Neuroradiology, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany
[66]Department of Neurology, St. John's Hospital, Vienna, Austria
[67]Department of Neurology, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria
[68]Department of Neuroradiology, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria
[69]Department of Neurology, Haaglanden Medical Centre, Hague and Department of Radiology, Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, Netherlands
[70]Department of Neurology, Universitair Ziekenhuis Brussel, Centre for Neurosciences, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium
[71]Department of Neurology, Stroke Unit, Europe Hospitals, Brussels, Belgium
[72]Department of Neurology, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Charleroi, Charleroi, Belgium
[73]Department of Neurology and Stroke Centre, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Ramon y Cajal Institute for Health Research (IRYCIS), Madrid, Spain
[74]Department of Neurology, Hospital Universitario Virgen Macarena, Seville, Spain
[75]Stroke Centre, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain
[76]Stroke Unit, Germans Trias Hospital, Barcelona, Spain
[77]Department of Neurology, Comprehensive Stroke Centre, Hospital Clinic from Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
[78]Stroke Unit, Department of Neurology, and Interventional Neuroradiology Unit, Department of Radiology, Hospital Universitario Miguel Servet; Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Aragón (IIS Aragón), Spain
[79]Stroke and Geriatric Medicine, Aintree University Hospital, United Kingdom
[80]Comprehensive Stroke Service, University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and Stroke Research Centre, University College London, United Kingdom
[81]University College London, Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, United Kingdom
[82]Department of Neurology, Akershus University Hospital, Lørenskog and Department of General Practice, University of Oslo, Norway
[83]Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Institute of Neuroscience and Physiology, Sahlgrenska Academy at University of Gothenburg and Department of Neurology, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Region Västra Götaland, Gothenburg, Sweden
[84]Department of Radiology, Institute of Clinical Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy at the University of Gothenburg and Department of Interventional and Diagnostic Neuroradiology, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Region Västra Götaland, Gothenburg, Sweden
[85]Department of Radiology, Comprehensive Stroke Centre, Charles University Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital, Hradec Králové, Czech Republic
[86]International Clinical Research Centre and Department of Neurology, St. Anne´s University Hospital and Faculty of Medicine at Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic
[87]Center for Health Research, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ostrava, Ostrava, Czech Republic
[88]Department of Neurology, České Budějovice Hospital, České Budějovice, Czech Republic
[89]Department of Neurology, Jihlava Hospital, Jihlava, Czech Republic
[90]Neurocenter, Regional Hospital Liberec, Liberec, Czech Republic
[91]Department of Neurology, Karviná Miners Hospital Inc., Karviná, Czech Republic
[92]Cerebrovascular Centre, University Hospital in Motol, Prague, Czech Republic
[93]Cerebrovascular Centre, General University Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic
[94]1st Department of Neurology, Institute of Psychiatry and Neurology, Warsaw, Poland
[95]Department of Neurology, Institute of Medical Sciences, Medical College of Rzeszow University, Poland
[96]Department of Neurology and Stroke, St. John Paul II Western Hospital, Grodzisk Mazowiecki, Poland
[97]Department of Radiology, Wroclaw Medical University, Wrocław, Poland
[98]Department of Neurosurgery and Neurology, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun Ludwik Rydygier Collegium Medicum, Bydgoszcz, Poland
[99]Stroke Intervention Centre, Department of Neurosurgery and Neurology, Jan Biziel University Hospital, Bydgoszcz, Poland
[100]Department of Neurology, Institute of Medical Sciences, University of Opole, Poland

[101]Clinic of Neurology, Military Institute of Medicine, Warsaw, Poland
[102]Department of Neurology, University of Warmia and Mazury, Olsztyn, Poland
[103]Department of Radiology, Provincial Specialist Hospital, Olsztyn, Poland
[104]Department of Radiology, University Emergency Hospital Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania
[105]Department of Neurology and Stroke Unit, Elias University Emergency Hospital, University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Carol Davila", Bucharest, Romania
[106]Department of Neurology, Eskisehir Osmangazi University, Eskisehir, Turkey
[107]Ain Shams University Affiliated Saudi German Hospital, Egypt
[108]Neuropsychiatry Department, Tanta University, Egypt
[109]Department of Neurology, Ibn Sina Hospital, Kuwait
[110]Department of Neurology, Jaber Al-Ahmad Hospital, Kuwait
[111]Department of Neurology, School of Medicine, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, Zanjan, Iran
[112]Stroke Unit, Neurology Department, Hillel Yaffe Medical Center, Hadera, Israel
[113]Department of Neurology, Medical University of South Carolina, South Carolina, USA
[114]Department of Neurology, Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit, MI, USA
[115]Comprehensive Stroke Centre and Department of Neurosciences, Spectrum Health and Michigan State University, MI, USA
[116]Department of Neurology, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR, USA
[117]Department of Neurology, Upstate University Hospital, NY, USA
[118]Department of Neurology, University of Kansas Medical Centre, KS, USA
[119]Department of Neurology, Wayne State University, Detroit Medical Center, Detroit, MI, USA
[120]Stroke Clinic, Instituto Nacional de Neurologia y Neurocirugia Manuel Velasco Suarez, Mexico City, Mexico
[121]Department of Neurology, Fundación Valle del Lili, Cali, Colombia
[122]Centro de Investigaciones Clínicas, Fundación Valle del Lili, Cali, Colombia
[123]Department of Neurology, Hospital Nacional Edgardo Rebagliati Martins, EsSalud, Lima, Péru
[124]Hospital General San Juan de Dios, Guatemala, Guatemala
[125]Department of Neurology, Hospital Nossa Senhora da Conceição Hospital, Porto Alegre, Brazil
[126]Ramos Mejía Hospital, Stroke Unit, Buenos Aires, Argentina
[127]St. Luke's Medical Center, Global City, Philippines
[128]Department of Neurology, Grant Medical College and Sir JJ Hospital, Mumbai, India
[129]Department of Neurology, National Health Insurance Service Ilsan Hospital, Goyang, Korea

https://doi.org/10.5853/jos.2024.04077